

# NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Artesia F.O.

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_\_

## 1. Plants - 150 Potential Points (25-50% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment		% Area in Contract After Treatment.		Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points	
Rangelands:	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend up or not apparent		%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	150			
	Ecological SI of 51-75 with upward trend		%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	120			
	Site SI of 51-75 with downward trend		%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	80			
	Similarity SI of 26-50 with upward trend		%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	100			
	Index SI of 26-50 with downward trend		%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	40			
	(SI)* SI of 0-25 with upward trend		%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	50			
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend		%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	0			
Riparian:	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____		%	% Quality After: _____		150		
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____		%	% Quality After: _____		150		
1. Plants		Total	100%	Total	100%	Total:			

## 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 120 Potential Points (25-65% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.					Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
<b>Soil Erosion (Sheet &amp; rill)</b>							
362 - Diversion (10 yr)					5		
314 - Brush Management (10 yr)					5		
<b>Water Quantity (Inefficient sater use on non irrigated land)</b>							
314 - Brush Management (10 yr)					10		
<b>Water Quality (Surface water contaminants)</b>							
314 - Brush Management (10 yr)					10		
362 - Diversion (10 yr)					5		
378 - Pond (20 yr)					5		
<b>Plants (Productivity,health, &amp; vigor, and invasive plants)</b>							
314 - Brush Management (10 yr)					10		
382 - Rence (20 yr)					10		
516 - Pipeline (20 yr)					5		
614 - Watering Facility (10 yr)					5		
<b>Animals (Food, cover or shelter, water, health)</b>							
314 - Brush Management (10 yr)					10		
382 - Rence (20 yr)					10		
516 - Pipeline (20 yr)					5		
614 - Watering Facility (10 yr)					5		
642 - Well (20 yr)					20		
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection</b>					<b>Total:</b>		

## 3. Other Considerations - 40 Potential Points (10-25% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
---	------------------	-------------------	--------------

## NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Artesia F.O.

A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)	10	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	10	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 309 project.	10	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	10	0	
<b>3. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>		

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1\_\_\_\_\_ Section 2\_\_\_\_\_ Section 3\_\_\_\_\_ Total for Worksheet \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Designated Conservationist**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**